Case 3:06-cv-00582-MHT-C Cument 14 Filed 07/12/2006 Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN, DIVISION

HON. CHARLES S. COODY, MAG. JUDGE AT MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA

DATE COMMENCED: 7/12/06 AT 9:00 A.M. - 9:17 A.M.

DATE COMPLETED: 7/12/06 FTR Recorded

EMILIA STRONG SYKES, et al *

Plaintiff *

vs.. * CASE NO. 3:06CV582-MHT-CSC

BENJAMIN F. PAYTON, et al

Defendant *

PLAINTIFF APPEARANCES: DEFENDANT

Atty. Percy Squire * Atty. Ernestine S. Sapp

*

COURT OFFICIALS PRESENT:

COURTROOM DEPUTY: WANDA STINSON LAW CLERK: CORRIE LONG

(X) OTHER PROCEEDINGS: ORAL ARGUMENTS RE: MOTION TO EXPEDITE DISCOVERY via

*TELEPHONE CONFERENC*E

SEE MINUTES ATTACHED

•	Telephone conf - Oral Arguments re:motion of Expedited Discovery - 06cv582-MHT-CSC	
	7 /12/2006	Location Courtroom 4B
Time	Speaker	Note
9 :00:16 AM	Court	Court convenes; Parties on line as noted; Discussions as to plntf's motion for expedite discovery;
9 :01:08 AM	Atty. Squire	Response to why discovery should be allowed; Discussions regarding the 2 claims: denial of procedureal due process and breach of contract; Have moved for a preliminary injunction; the hearing on the merits to be consolidated;
9 :01:44 AM	Court	Discussions that Judge Thompson set hearing only motion fo preliminary injunctive relief;
9 :01:58 AM	Atty. Squire	Response; The hrg . on the motion for preliminary injunctive relief will probably be dispositive in the case;
9 :02:24 AM	Court	Questions regarding the amount of discovery requested; Tuskegee Institution is a private institution; Where is the basi for Federal jurisdiction?
9 :03:01 AM	Atty. Squire	Response - there is a diversity claim;
9 :03:09 AM	Court	Separate claim; claim is a form of contract claim, which can be resolved by way of damages;
9 :03:28 AM	Atty. Squire	Response;
9 :04:37 AM	Court	Discussion as to the state law claim;
9 :04:52 AM	Atty. Squire	Response;
9 :05:39 AM	Court	Discussions as to the factual dispute as to what happened;
9 :06:46 AM	Atty. Squire	Response;
9 :07:40 AM	Court	Response;
9 :07:47 AM	Atty. Squire	Response as to the merits;
9 :08:14 AM	Atty. Sapp	Informs the court and plntf cnsl of plaintiffs' suspension from AKA sorority for hazing violations; Addresses the issues; The expedited motion should be considered as a motion to dismission.
9 :12:56 AM	Atty. Squire	Response re: Tuskgess University being a private University;
9 :13:36 AM	Court	Response regarding prior ruling as to Tuskegee University being a private institution;
9 :13:53 AM	Atty. Squire	Response;
·····ò.	Atty. Sapp	Response;
······································	Atty. Squire	Response;
······································	Court	Discussion as to the fed jurisd. issue;
9 :15:51 AM	Atty. Squire	Response;
	Court	Response re: damages;
):16:15 AM	Atty. Squire	Response;
	Atty. Sapp	Response
9 :17:29 AM (Court	Will take matters under advisement; Court is recessed.

07/13/06 13:10:29